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Abstract

This study pursued three goals. The first goal was to explore children’s trajectories of anxiety from age 6 to 12 using a representative community sample. The second goal was to assess the link between certain behavioral characteristics assessed in kindergarten (i.e., inattention, hyperactivity, aggressiveness, and low prosociality) and these trajectories. The third goal was to determine whether certain aspects of maternal parenting (i.e., warmth and discipline) could moderate the association between these characteristics and the trajectories of anxiety. A population sample of 2,000 children (1,001 boys, 999 girls) participated in this longitudinal study. Developmental trajectory analyses allowed us to identify four trajectory groups: low, low-increasing, high-declining, and high anxiety groups. Moreover, multinomial logistic regressions revealed a profile of children at risk of developing high anxiety symptoms (i.e., high group), characterized by sociofamily adversity, inattention, and low prosociality in the classroom. Hyperactivity was also found in this profile, but only for children exposed to a mother who showed little affective warmth. Finally, mothers’ high level of discipline increased the odds of belonging to the high anxiety group. The results are discussed in relation to studies examining the association among anxiety, behavioral characteristics, and parenting during childhood.

Research on anxiety in children has considerably increased over the last decade. According to epidemiological studies on mental health conducted in several Western countries, this disorder is the most common form of psychological distress during childhood. Prevalence rates ranging from 2.6% to 23.9% have been reported in samples of children aged 11 or under (Costello, Mustillo, Erkanli, Keeler, & Angold, 2003; Ford, Goodman, & Meltzer, 2003; Kroes et al., 2001). If forms of anxiety that do not fully meet the diagnostic criteria of an anxiety disorder (i.e., subsyndromic symptoms) were taken into account, the total prevalence would be even higher (Cartwright-Hatton, McNicol, & Doubleday, 2006; Zahn-Waxler, Klimes-Dougan, & Slattery, 2000).

Anxiety is a natural emotion that is an integral part of children’s normal development (Kendall & Suveg, 2006). This emotional reaction, however, can have debilitating effects in everyday life, particularly if it leads to avoidance behaviors, excessive fears, and major physiological symptoms (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). Such manifestations are likely to seriously handicap the child’s social and academic functioning (Duchesne, Larose, Guay, Tremblay, & Vitaro, 2005; Strauss, Lease, Kazdin, Dulcan, & Last, 1989), to be accompanied by concomitant disorders (Brady & Kendall, 1992; Tannock, 2000) and to extend to other developmental periods (Bernstein & Borchardt, 1991; Last, Perrin, Hersen, & Kazdin, 1996).

Despite these findings, empirical evidence related to the emergence and continuity of anxiety during childhood, as well as to the personal and familial factors behind this anxiety, remains limited (McLeod, Wood, & Weisz, 2007; Visser, van der Ende, Koot, & Verhulst, 1999; Zahn-Waxler et al., 2000). In particular, it is necessary (a) to better understand how anxiety develops during the first years of schooling for different groups of children, (b) to isolate the risk factors contributing to the emergence and continuity of this anxiety, and (c) to identify the factors that moderate the effects of these risk factors. To address these issues, the present longitudinal study pursued three goals. The first goal was to explore the developmental trajectories of anxiety during the elementary-school years using a group-based trajectory method. For this paper, anxiety refers to nonspecific symptoms such as fear or worry, and other negative emotions that are not specific to a single anxiety disorder. The second goal was to determine whether these trajectories can be associated to certain children’s behavioral characteristics (i.e., inattention, hyperactivity, aggressiveness, and prosociality) as assessed during...
the first year of schooling. Finally, the third goal was to
determine whether maternal parenting behaviors (i.e., warmth
and discipline) could moderate the association between chil-
dren’s behavioral characteristics and their trajectories of anxiety.

Developmental Psychopathology Perspective and
Trajectories of Anxiety During Childhood

The developmental psychopathology perspective has given
rise to numerous studies on the development and prediction
of children’s psychopathology, including anxiety (Cicchetti
& Rogosch, 2002; Cummings, Davies, & Campbell, 2000;
Rutter, 2002; Vasey & Dadds, 2001). This paradigm is struc-
tured around the premise that children’s development reflects
patterns of adjustment that emerge from multiple and contin-
uous transactions between their own characteristics and those
of the social contexts in which they evolve (Cicchetti & Ro-
gosch, 2002; Rutter, 2002). This dynamic interface between
children and their environment is the cause of their internal
reactions (e.g., fear, worry, joy) and external reactions (e.g.,
agitation, aggressiveness, obedience), which affect their ad-
justment qualitatively, thus contributing to guiding their develop-
mental trajectory toward a psychopathological outcome or other-
wise (Cummings et al., 2000). Given that a trajectory can vary
from one child to the next, it is possible that different groups of
children follow different trajectories with respect to anxiety (Cic-
chetti & Rogosch, 2002). For example, some children might fol-
low a stable trajectory, either high or low, whereas others might
outgrow their anxious reactions over time. However, it is unlikely
that nonanxious children will become increasingly anxious over
time, although this pattern should not be discarded a priori.

Recognizing that developmental trajectories might be het-
erogeneous has an effect on the statistical analysis method cho-
en to draw up distinct and potentially opposing trajectories. In
recent years, a group-based nonparametric method has estab-
lished itself in follow-up studies as a method by which to model
individual trajectories of development (Jones, Nagin, & Roe-
der, 2001; Nagin, 1999). This method considers the natural het-
erogeneity in a population by grouping individuals according to
the similarity of their trajectories, describing the shape of these
trajectories (e.g., constant, quadratic) and estimating the propor-
tion of individuals belonging to each trajectory.

Three studies based on a longitudinal approach have used
this method to describe manifestations of anxiety among chil-
dren. In a study assessing fearfulness among elementary-
school children (kindergarten to grade 6), Côté, Tremblay,
Nagin, Zoccolillo, and Vitaro (2002) identified three separate
groups for boys and girls: low fearfulness group (16% of boys,
13% of girls), moderate fearfulness group (75% of boys, 70% of
girls), and high fearfulness group (9% of boys, 17% of girls).
In another study conducted on a sample of boys who
were followed from age 2 to 10, Feng, Shaw, and Silk (2008)
identified four groups based on their anxiety symptoms: low
(51%), low-increasing (8.8%), high-declining (32.5%), and
high-increasing (7.9%) groups. Finally, Duchesne, Vitaro, La-
rose, and Tremblay (2008) recently described manifestations of
anxiety from kindergarten (5 years old) to grade 6 (11 years
old) for four groups, including boys and girls: low (10.2%),
moderate (39%), high (41%), and chronic groups (9.7%). On
the whole, these studies suggest that anxious children do not
form a homogeneous group and that the emergence of anxious
symptoms varies not only over time but also in terms of severity
for different groups of children.

By building on these studies, our understanding of these tra-
jectories can be enriched in at least three ways. First, except for
the study by Duchesne et al. (2008), the analyses of trajectories of
anxiety were conducted for boys and girls separately. In addi-
tion, Côté et al. (2002) were the only researchers who used teach-
ers to assess anxiety in children. Thus, no study has examined
trajectories of anxiety by including both boys and girls, and by
using the viewpoint of teachers. Methodologically speaking,
this combination appears to be justified because (a) the gender
gaps in the total prevalence of anxiety are observed mainly to-
ward the age of 13–14, with higher prevalence rates in females
than in males (Bittner et al., 2007; see Kendall et al., 2006), (b)
the trajectories of anxiety among boys and girls seem to be sim-
ilar before puberty (Côté et al., 2002), (c) some children do not
have the cognitive and verbal tools to understand and describe
their emotions accurately (see Duchesne et al., 2008), and (d)
teachers have proved to be a valid source of information for rec-
ognizing children with anxiety symptoms in the school setting
(Layne, Bernstein, & March, 2006).

Second, none of these studies focused on drawing up the be-
havioral profile of these children. Behavioral measures such as
attention deficit/hyperactivity (Tannock, 2000), aggressiveness
(Serbin, Moskowitz, Schwartzman, & Ledingham, 1991; Zoc-
colillo, 1992) and social behavior (Fox, Henderson, Marshall,
Nichols, & Ghera, 2005; Olson & Rosenblum, 1998) have
nevertheless been associated with anxiety disorders and/or
shy-inhibited behavior (Fox et al., 2005; Olson & Rosenblum,
Establishing the behavioral profiles that characterize different
trajectories of anxiety could increase the capacity to identify
anxious children in the school setting and to better plan the de-
velopment and application of preventive measures aimed at
these children.

Third, in the only study that examined the contribution of the
family environment to explaining the trajectories of anxiety
(Feng et al., 2008), the family factors that were chosen were
conceptualized in terms of risk (insecure attachment, maternal
depression, and negative control). To prevent anxiety among
school-aged children, it also appears necessary to focus on the
protective factors deriving from the family and to determine
the extent to which they can compensate for or buffer the harm-
ful effects of certain behavioral characteristics potentially linked
with these trajectories. These protective factors might be par-
icularly relevant for children who follow a declining trajectory.

Behavioral Characteristics and Anxiety

Studies examining children’s personal characteristics associ-
ated with the development of anxiety have mainly focused on
temperament (Feng et al., 2008; Lonigan, Vasey, Philips, & Hazen, 2004), emotion regulation (Bosquet & Egeland, 2006; Feng et al., 2008), and information processing (Vasey & Macleod, 2001). No reviewed study has established the particular behavioral profile related to the existence of individual trajectories of anxiety. This study concentrated on four behavioral characteristics empirically associated with anxiety during childhood, that is, inattention, hyperactivity, aggressiveness, and low prosociality. Although these characteristics appear to develop concomitantly with anxiety, it is likely that they may pervade the development of anxious manifestations during the first years of schooling. Through its increasing demands for self control, its academic requirements and the values it conveys, school could intensify children’s problem behaviors, handicap their social and academic functioning, and thus affect their emotions (e.g., Eccles & Roeser, 2003). Moreover, as these characteristics can be easily identified, they constitute targets for potential interventions on the part of teachers who can take action to prevent or reduce anxiety (Duchesne et al., 2008).

**Inattention and hyperactivity**

Inattention in children is characterized by an inability to sustain attention, to memorize information, to persevere in accomplishment of tasks, to accomplish demanding tasks, and to resist distractions, whereas hyperactivity is expressed mainly in the form of excessive motor or verbal agitation (Barkley, 2005). The association between the manifestation of these behaviors and anxiety has been demonstrated in both community and clinical samples of children (Crystal, Ostrander, Chen, & August, 2001; Farone et al., 2004; Öncü et al., 2004; Power, Costigan, Eiraldi, & Leff, 2004). In general, these studies have shown that children with clinical symptoms of inattention and/or hyperactivity tend to express high levels of anxiety. In the present study, we examined the contribution of inattention and hyperactivity symptoms to the trajectories of anxiety in elementary school. The probability of belonging to the high anxiety trajectory group was expected to be greater for children who exhibited inattention and signs of agitation in kindergarten. These children’s inability to sustain their attention and control their agitation in the classroom could limit their capacity for quality learning (DuPaul et al., 2004), while jeopardizing their relationships with other children (Barkley, 2005). Such consequences could then create fertile ground for anxiety to appear.

**Aggressiveness**

It has been well established that aggressiveness in children is expressed in different forms (e.g., physical, proactive, reactive, relational), and can reveal itself as part of a syndrome or a clinical disorder such as conduct disorder (Little, Brauner, Jones, Nock, & Hawley, 2003; Tremblay, 2000; Vitato & Brendgen, 2005). Empirical evidence has supported the association between this class of behaviors and anxiety (or constructs closely related to it). For example, reactive aggressive-ness (i.e., emotional responses to external events) has been related to anxiety and shyness in children (Little et al., 2003; see Vitaro & Brendgen, 2005). In addition, aggressive behaviors in the classroom have been found to be significantly and positively associated with anxiety symptoms in children (Epkins, 1995). Similarly, parents and teachers’ ratings of internalizing symptoms in children have been linked to aggressiveness both at home and at school (Kolko, Baumann, Bukstein, & Brown, 2007). Finally, children with a conduct disorder, characterized by the repeated manifestation of violent behaviors (e.g., threats, intimidation, fights), also appear to be at risk of exhibiting high levels of anxiety (Zoccolillo, 1992). In light of these data, we formulated the hypothesis that children exhibiting physical aggressiveness in kindergarten will belong to the group characterized by a high anxiety trajectory. Because aggressive children run the risk of having difficult relationships with their peers, being repeatedly scolded by their teachers and experiencing academic failure (see Van Acker & Mayer, 2009), it is likely that these numerous negative experiences could lead to the development of negative feelings such as anxiety.

**Prosociality**

Prosociality generally refers to the set of attitudes and behaviors in children (e.g., sensitivity, helpfulness, comforting others, cooperation) that allow them to initiate and maintain harmonious social interactions (Eisenberg & Fabes, 1998; Walker, Ramsey, & Gresham, 2004). It has been found that prosocial orientation and/or patterns of social adaptation (e.g., sociability, social acceptance, social skills, social competence) are associated with anxiety or internalizing problems in children (Fox et al., 2005; Morgan & Banerjee, 2006; Olson & Rosenblum, 1998; Strauss, Lahey, Frick, Frame, & Hynd, 1988; Vitaro, Brendgen, Larose, & Tremblay, 2005). Even though the nature of the association between social behaviors and anxiety has not been clearly demonstrated, it seems that children who are attentive toward others, socially competent and accepted by their peers, and who have a set of solutions to prevent or settle conflicts are less likely to feel anxious. Based on these findings, we expected that low prosocial behaviors among children in kindergarten would be related to a high anxiety trajectory. Children who have not fully developed this set of behaviors could be deprived of positive socialization experiences with peers, thus making them more vulnerable to anxious feelings (Fox et al., 2005; Olsen & Rosenblum, 1998).

**Parenting and anxiety**

Research on parenting has for a long time identified warmth and discipline as essential factors in human development (Barber, 2002; Barber, Olsen, & Shagle, 1994; Baumrind, 1971; Cummings, et al., 2000; Duchesne et al., 2005; Maccoby & Martin, 1983; Masia & Morris, 1998; Vitaro et al., 2005). Warmth refers to a set of parental behaviors characterized by sensitivity, acceptance, affection, and support (Maccoby &
Martin, 1983). These behaviors protect children by communi-
cating interest in them and helping them regulate their emo-
tional states. Conversely, discipline is reflected through the set-
ting and application of rules, the use of inductive reasoning, and the use of frequent feedback on a child’s behavior (Barber et al., 1994; Cummings et al., 2000). This type of control encourages children to internalize rules and social values leading to healthy and responsible self-regulation.

The role of parental warmth in the etiology of anxiety in chil-
dren has been particularly well documented in the literature (Barber et al., 1994; McLeod et al., 2007; Moore, Whaley, & Sigman, 2004; Scott, Scott, & McCabe, 1991; Wood, McLeod, Sigman, Hwang, & Chu, 2003). For example, in a recent meta-
analytic review, parental warmth was linked with fewer child anxiety symptoms (McLeod et al., 2007). Others studies have also shown that, compared to nonanxious children, anxious chil-
dren appear to be exposed to parents who show less warmth (Moore et al., 2004; Scott et al., 1991). In general, these studies conclude that parental warmth is beneficial for children’s adjust-
ment by preventing or limiting certain anxious manifestations.

In contrast to parental warmth, few studies have examined the contribution of discipline to the development of anxiety during childhood (see Ballash, Leyfer, Buckley, & Woodruff-Borden, 2006). The few studies reviewed have never-
theless shown that this form of control appears to be associ-
ated with anxiety. For example, parental limit setting (a key component of discipline) has been associated with fewer in-
ternalizing problems among children in the classroom setting (Mattanah, 2001). Similarly, parental discipline has been negatively associated with internalizing problems in samples of adolescents (Barber et al., 1994; Galambos, Barker, & Almeida, 2003). In addition, parental warmth and discipline (as assessed by an authoritative parenting style) was significantly linked to lower test anxiety in adolescents (Chapell & Over-
ton, 1998). Thus, these few studies suggest that the use of ap-
propriate discipline by parents could reduce the appearance of anxiety symptoms in their children.

To date, no study has tested the contribution of parental warmth and discipline to the prediction of trajectories of anxiety during the elementary-school years. In the current inves-
tigation, two hypotheses were derived from the preceding studies on parenting. First, children who have been exposed to maternal warmth and to mothers who have fostered their self-regulation by setting clear limits will have a greater prob-
ability of belonging to the lowest anxiety trajectory group (compensatory effect hypothesis). Second, the association between children’s behavioral characteristics and their trac-
tories of anxiety may be moderated by the quality of maternal parenting to which they have been exposed (protective effect hypothesis). This hypothesis is based on the developmental psychopathology perspective that suggests that the effects of parenting on children’s developmental trajectories are often understood based on their interaction with the children’s personal characteristics (Cummings et al., 2000). Thus, children who are vulnerable based on their behavioral charac-
teristics will be less likely to belong to a high anxiety trajectory group, if they have been exposed to positive socialization ex-
periences with their mothers.

The Present Study

The longitudinal study reported here addressed three goals. The first goal was to identify and describe different possible teacher-
ated trajectories of anxiety from kindergarten to Grade 6. The second goal was to examine the contribution of the children’s behavioral characteristics, as assessed in kindergarten, to the prediction of their trajectories of anxiety during their elementary-school years. The third goal was to determine the possible direct or interactive role of maternal parenting. Sociofamily ad-
versity (including family status, the mother’s education level, and age at birth of first child) served as a control variable based on its known links with both the predictors (i.e., children’s behavioral characteristics, maternal behaviors) and the outcomes (i.e., anxiety; Duchesne et al., 2008; Vitaro et al., 2005).

Method

Participants and procedure

The sample used in the present study comes from the Quebec Longitudinal Study of Kindergarten Children, a study made up of children who were representative of boys and girls attending kindergarten in a French-speaking public school in the province of Quebec in 1986–1987 and 1987–1988. The aim of that study was to identify the risk and protective factors associated with aca-
demic success and social adjustment over the schooling years. The children were chosen randomly and proportionally from the 11 administrative regions of Quebec based on a list prepared by the Quebec Ministry of Education, Leisure and Sport. At the starting point of the study, the sample was made up of 6,397 chil-
dren. Subsequently, a random subsample of 2,000 children (1,001 boys, 999 girls) was systematically followed up until Grade 6 (longitudinal subsample). Every spring, a questionnaire was mailed to the parents of these children and their teacher (for more details, see Zoccolillo, Vitaro, & Tremblay, 1999).

The majority of children in the longitudinal subsample were French-speaking Caucasians (>94%) and their mean age at Time 1 (kindergarten) was 5.99 years (SD = 0.29). Eighty-three percent (82.9%) of these children lived with both biological parents, and the remaining percentage lived in different family structures (e.g., single-parent families, blended families, foster families). Their mothers had received 11.97 years of schooling (SD = 2.56), and their mean age at the birth of their first child was 24.54 (SD = 3.83).

Missing data

Among the sample of 2,000 children, complete data on all measures were available for 1,339 of them (62.05%). Ad-
ressing the issue of missing observations is important be-
cause it can impact both the analysis and interpretation of the results. In the present study, missing observations were es-
imated using the expectation–maximization algorithm from the SPSS statistical software, version 13.0. This procedure, which is applicable when values are missing at random, replaces the missing data with the expected values.

**Measures**

**Kindergarten.**

*Sociofamilial adversity index.* Based on data gathered from mothers on family structure (i.e., two-parent, single, blended), the mother’s education level and age at birth of first child, a sociofamilial adversity index was created. Each of these indicators was assigned a score of 0 or 1, according to the degree of adversity that it represented for the child. In the case of family structure, a child living with both biological parents obtained a score of 0 (low risk), whereas a child in a single-parent or blended family received a score of 1 (higher risk). The others indicators (mother’s education level and age at birth of first child) were assigned a score of 1 when the respective values were in the bottom quartile and a score of 0 for higher values. At least two of these indicators were needed to make up the index. A higher score indicated greater risk or adversity for the child. This sociofamilial adversity index has recently been associated with parental discipline as well as aggressiveness, anxiety, hyperactivity, low prosociality, and high school noncompletion (Duchesne et al., 2008; Vitaro et al., 2005). In the present study, the overall average score was 0.24 (SD = 0.31).

**Behavioral characteristics in the classroom.** Children’s behavioral characteristics were assessed by their teacher using four subscales of the teacher version of the Social Behavior Questionnaire (SBQ; Tremblay et al., 1991): hyperactivity (two items: restless, squirmy), inattention (three items: unable to concentrate, inattentive, gives up easily), aggressiveness (nine items: e.g., threatens or bullies others, bites, hits, and kicks), and prosociality (eight items: e.g., praises others, helps other children with difficult tasks, invites bystanders to join the group). These subscales were assessed using a 3-point scale ranging from 0 (never applies) to 2 (frequently applies). For each behavioral characteristic, an overall score was obtained by averaging the responses to their corresponding items. Previous research (e.g., Duchesne et al., 2005; Tremblay et al., 1992; Vitaro et al., 2005) supported the psychometric qualities of the SBQ. Indices of internal consistency for these subscales ranged from 0.82 (inattention) to 0.90 (aggressiveness).

**Parenting.** Mothers completed a French version of the Emotional Climate for Children Questionnaire (Falender & Mehrabian, 1980) when her child was 6 years old. Two dimensions assessed by this questionnaire were retained: pleasure and discipline. The pleasure dimension was assessed using 18 items to estimate the warmth of the mother–child relationship based on the mother’s assessment of the pleasure she experienced in caring for her child (e.g., “I never regret having a child”; “I like to be with my child”; “Having a child to care for is a lot of fun”). The discipline dimension was assessed using 16 items to estimate the mother’s attitude about the importance of applying rules and regulating her child’s behavior (e.g., “It is important for a child to have a fixed bedtime”; “I don’t tolerate temper tantrums”; ‘Parents should not back down once they have told the child not to do something”). The items in these two subscales were rated using a 9-point numeric scale ranging from −4 (very strong disagreement) to +4 (very strong agreement).

**Anxiously symptoms in the classroom.** The children’s anxious symptoms were rated annually from kindergarten to Grade 6 using the Anxiety Scale from the SBQ (Tremblay et al., 1991). Different teachers served as raters every year. This scale included three items (worries about many things, tends to be fearful or afraid of new things or new situations, cries easily). The possible ratings for the items ranged from 0 (never applies) to 2 (frequently applies). The Cronbach α values for this scale ranged from 0.66 to 0.75.

**Statistical analyses**

There were two main parts to the analyses. First, trajectories of anxiety were modeled using the kindergarten to Grade 6 scores from the Anxiety Scale. Semiparametric mixture models for anxiety symptoms were estimated for boys and girls using the SAS TRAJ procedure (Jones et al., 2001; Nagin, 1999). To decide which model best fit the data, the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) was used. For every child, the procedure calculates the probability of belonging to each trajectory group, based on the observed longitudinal pattern of anxious symptoms and determines the assigned trajectory group membership using the highest classification probability across groups on a scale of 0–1. Probabilities of approximately .70, .80, or higher imply a good fit (Nagin, 2005).

Second, multinomial logistic regression analyses were performed to test the predictive value of children’s behavioral characteristics (hyperactivity, inattention, aggressiveness, prosociality), maternal parenting (warmth, discipline), and their potential interactive effects on group-based membership above and beyond sociofamily adversity. These analyses helped to examine the respective contribution of these two categories of predictors to the development of trajectories of anxiety, and to determine whether a mother’s socialization practices could reduce the predictive link between a child’s behavioral characteristics in
kindergarten and his or her membership in a given anxiety trajectory group or else compensate their effects by producing a main effect in the opposite direction.

Results

Trajectories of anxiety symptoms

Models from two to four groups were estimated using the TRAJ procedure. A four-group solution was found to be optimal (BIC = −21,786.98) compared to the two-group model (BIC = −21,928.88), and the three-group model (BIC = −21,852.20). Figure 1 illustrates trajectories of anxiety where solid lines represent actual trajectories (i.e., mean scores for children in groups identified) and dotted lines represent predicted trajectories, calculated using the model’s coefficient estimates. Children within each trajectory group shared similar patterns of variation and levels of anxiety during their elementary school years. Table 1 presents mean assignment probabilities, which were conditional on assignment based on the maximum probability rule. Probabilities of belonging to each group varied from .68 to .78.

The first group (N = 680) comprised 34% of the sample. This group, labeled the “low group,” included children who experienced slight fluctuations in symptoms of anxiety from kindergarten to Grade 6, but at continually lower levels than those of other children. The cubic parameter was statistically significant (p < .001). The second group (N = 401) constituted 20.05% of the sample and was labeled the “low-increasing group.” The level of anxiety experienced by these children increased markedly from kindergarten to the end of Grade 2, peaking in Grade 4 and then remaining more or less stable until the end of elementary school. The cubic trajectory was statistically significant (p < .001). The third group (N = 631) constituted 31.55% of the sample and was labeled the “high-declining group.” These children’s level of anxiety was high in kindergarten, declined considerably as of the following year (Grade 1) and remained stable until Grade 5. In Grade 6, these children’s level of anxiety was comparable to that of children in the low group. Again, the cubic parameter for this trajectory was statistically significant (p < .001). Finally, the fourth group included an estimated 14.4% of the sample (N = 288) and was labeled the “high group.” This group of children followed a trajectory indicating a high level of anxiety that slowly declined across measurement times. The linear trajectory was statistically significant (p < .001).

A contingency analysis was conducted to determine whether the distribution of boys and girls was similar in each trajectory group. The results of a chi-square difference test showed that trajectory groups did not differ significantly for boys and girls (χ² = 6.37, df = 3, p = .10), indicating that trajectories were invariant across gender.

Predicting trajectory group membership

Preliminary analyses were conducted to determine the bivariate correlations among gender, sociofamily adversity, maternal parenting, children’s behavioral characteristics, and anxiety. As Table 2 indicates, except for moderate correlations involving aggressiveness, hyperactivity, and inattention (rs = .47–.65), the magnitude of relations between the predictive variables was modest (rs = −.24–.36), thus suggesting that these variables were relatively independent of each other, although their correlations were all in the expected directions.

A series of multinomial logistic regression analyses were performed to examine the contribution of children’s behavioral characteristics and mothers’ socialization practices, as assessed at the beginning of schooling, that is, kindergarten. Contrasts between the trajectory groups were defined beforehand such that a given group could be compared to a reference group. In this case, the low anxiety group was compared with the groups exhibiting higher levels of anxiety (i.e., low-increasing, high-declining, and high groups). Logistic regressions were then performed on these groups while respecting the variables’ order of entry, involving four steps: in the first step, the children’s gender and sociofamily adversity were included as control variables; in the second step, the children’s behavioral characteristics in kindergarten were added to the control variables; in the third step, the mothers’ socialization practices were inserted into the previous variables; and last, two-way interactions were added to the equation to determine whether the children’s behaviors contributed in a different way to the prediction of anxiety groups based on the degree of warmth and discipline shown by the mother. Eight interaction terms were performed: Aggressiveness × Warmth, Aggressiveness × Discipline, Hyperactivity × Warmth, Hyperactivity × Discipline, Inattention × Warmth, Inattention × Discipline, Prosociality × Warmth, and Prosociality × Discipline.1 The results of these analyses are presented in Table 3.

High group (vs. low group). The results of the multinomial logistic regression analyses indicated that the probability of belonging to the high group was greater for children facing adversity within their families (odds ratio = 3.46, p < .001) and who were perceived by their teachers to be inattentive in the classroom (odds ratio = 6.24, p < .001). In contrast, the probability of belonging to this group was lower for children who were perceived to be socially competent (odds ratio = 0.66, p < .05). Finally, children whose mothers tended to apply rules and control their behaviors had a strong probability of belonging to the high group (odds ratio = 1.07, p < .05). The children’s gender, their manifestations of hyperactivity and aggressiveness, as well as maternal warmth did not make any significant contribution.

Furthermore, the interactions also affected the probability of belonging to the high group. More specifically, one effect proved to be significant: Hyperactivity × Warmth (odds ratio

---

1. The interaction terms Gender × Child’s Behavioral Characteristics (aggressiveness, hyperactivity, inattention, and prosociality) and Gender × Parental Behaviors (warmth and discipline) were also explored. Given that none of these interactions proved to be conclusive, they were excluded from the final analyses to preserve maximum statistical power.
This effect was analyzed in detail using the procedure put forward by Flom and Strauss (2003) to interpret the interactions in logistic regression. This procedure determined the warmth level(s) reported by the mother (i.e., low or 25th percentile, moderate or 50th percentile, and high or 75th percentile) at which the child’s hyperactivity was associated with the probability of belonging to the group of most anxious children. Figure 2 shows that the probability of belonging to the high group was higher for hyperactive children interacting with mothers who showed little affective warmth.

High-declining group (vs. low group). Multinomial logistic regression analyses revealed that the probability of belonging to the high-declining group was greater for children who were perceived to be inattentive in kindergarten (odds ratio = 3.27, \( p < .001 \)), over and beyond gender and sociofamilial adversity. No additional information was provided by the children’s gender, hyperactivity, aggressiveness, prosocial behaviors, mothers’ socialization practices, or even by interaction terms.

Table 1. Mean assignment probabilities to group trajectories for anxiety (conditional on assignment by maximum probability rule)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anxiety</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low (N = 680)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low increasing (N = 401)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High declining (N = 631)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (N = 288)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The order indicates whether the trajectory was fit with a linear (1) or cubic (2) function.

Low-increasing group (vs. low group). The results of the last series of analyses showed that sociofamilial adversity, inattention, and low prosociality contributed to the probability of belonging to the low-increasing group. Specifically, a high level of sociofamilial adversity and a high level of inattention in the classroom increased the probability of belonging to the low-increasing anxiety trajectory group (odds ratio = 2.17, \( p < .001 \), and odds ratio = 1.86, \( p < .001 \), respectively). In contrast, the probability of following this trajectory was lower for children who were less inclined to adopt prosocial behaviors toward their peers (odds ratio = 0.61, \( p < .001 \)). No additional information was provided by other variables and interaction terms.

Discussion

This study contributes in at least three ways to the advancement of knowledge related to the manifestations of anxiety in school-aged children. First, the results support the existence of different trajectories of anxiety from kindergarten to the end of Grade 6. Second, anxious children are different from their less anxious peers, based on certain behavioral characteristics that can be observed in the classroom. Third, the results partially support the hypothesis of a moderating effect of maternal parenting in the association between children’s behavioral characteristics and the development of anxiety during the first years of schooling.

Trajectories of anxiety during childhood

The presence of multiple trajectories of anxiety is consistent with the developmental psychopathology perspective that highlights...
Table 2. Bivariate correlations, means (standard deviations), and range of control, predictive, and outcome variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.40 (0.34)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Gender</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Adversity</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Warmth</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Discipline</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Aggressiveness</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Hyperactivity</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Inattention</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Prosociality</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Anxiety (K)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Anxiety (G1)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Anxiety (G2)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Anxiety (G3)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Anxiety (G4)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Anxiety (G5)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Anxiety (G6)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.31)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.42)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.27)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.56)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.44)</td>
<td>1.07 (1.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Girls serve as the reference group.

The association between inattention and the high-declining trajectory of anxiety is intriguing, to say the least, considering that, from Grade 1 to Grade 6, these children’s level of anxiety is comparable to that of children who are less anxious. However, this result could be partly attributed to the stress caused by the transition to kindergarten. For most children, starting school involves not only being in a completely new physical environment, but also having to deal with unfamiliar peers...
Table 3. Summary of multinomial logistic regression analyses predicting developmental trajectories of anxiety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1</th>
<th>Overall Model Statistics</th>
<th>Group-Specific Odds Ratio Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\chi^2$ Change (df)</td>
<td>Nagelkerke $R^2$ Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control variable (K-grade)</td>
<td>45.61 (6)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>6.47 (3)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociofamily adversity</td>
<td>39.23 (3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher’s report (K-grade)</td>
<td>223.73 (12)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperactivity</td>
<td>1.47 (3)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressiveness</td>
<td>0.93 (3)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inattention</td>
<td>164.47 (3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosociality</td>
<td>12.93 (3)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting (K-grade)</td>
<td>14.22 (6)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warmth</td>
<td>4.80 (3)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline</td>
<td>15.13 (3)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactions*</td>
<td>23.97 (24)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperactivity × Warmth</td>
<td>7.60 (3)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Girls serve as the reference group. The low group serves as comparison group for model test and odds ratios.

*Only significant interaction terms are shown in the table.

*p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001.

Figure 2. The probability of belonging to the high-anxiety group as a function of children’s hyperactivity and mothers’ warmth behaviors.
and meeting the expectations of strangers in a position of authority (Coplan & Ardeau, 2008; Duda & Minick, 2006). It is therefore plausible that this period of adjustment could generate, among some children, enough stress to affect their level of attention and lead to the manifestation of anxious feelings. Taking into account the symptoms of anxiety before the start of kindergarten would allow this hypothesis to be validated.

The results also show that children who exhibit few prosocial behaviors toward their classmates are more likely to belong to the high- and low-increasing anxiety trajectory groups rather than the low group. Other researchers have also shown that children’s social adjustment problems were associated with anxiety (e.g., Fox et al., 2005; Olsen & Rosenblum, 1998; Strauss, et al., 1988; Vitto et al., 2005). This association might reflect these children’s difficulty in initiating and participating in positive socialization experiences with their peers, which foster the establishment of a mutual support system (Chen & French, 2008; Eisenberg & Mussen, 1989; Godde & Engfer, 1994). Because they are deprived of such a source of support at school, it is plausible that these children are less immunized to deal with the stress of daily life, thus predisposing them to develop anxiety symptoms. Once anxiety sets in, it can then gradually crystallize as a result of the negative responses communicated by the child’s peers, in particular, in the form of exclusion (Chen & French, 2008; Rubin, Bukowski, & Parker, 1998).

Furthermore, present findings indicate that children who exhibit signs of hyperactivity are more likely to belong to the high-anxiety trajectory group than the low-anxiety trajectory group. This probability, however, is greater for children who interact with mothers who show little affective warmth. This result supports the hypothesis of the mitigating effect of maternal warmth. This result can be compared with a statement stemming from the developmental psychopathology perspective to the effect that parental behaviors appear to interact with some of the child’s attributes, and that these two factors combine to influence his or her development trajectory (Cummings et al., 2000). Thus, the hypothesis of a tripartite association involving hyperactivity, adjustment, and parenting can be envisaged to account for the intensity of anxious symptoms in children throughout their elementary school years. Research has often associated hyperactivity (and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder) symptoms with major social and academic problems (e.g., Barkley, 2005). Thus, children’s manifestations of anxiety could be the indirect result of adjustment problems, following excessive agitation. The severity with which anxiety will be manifested depends, partly, on the warm behaviors adopted by the mother. By being accessible, sensitive, and supportive, the mother fosters the establishment of an emotional climate that may reduce her child’s anxiety by limiting the exploratory behaviors needed to acquire the cognitive and social skills that make it easier to deal with stressful life events.

**Implications**

The identification of trajectories of anxiety has significant implications in terms of intervention, especially for children belonging to the high- and low-increasing anxiety trajectory groups. These children are not only anxious during elementary-school years, but are also at risk of lacking the capacity to maintain their attention and to enter into a relationship with their classmates. In addition, mothers of children who follow a high anxiety trajectory (high group) seem to use discipline at home to a greater extent than mothers of less anxious children. They also appear to show less warmth when their children exhibit signs of hyperactivity at school. The identification of these groups of children has raised the need to intervene early and over a long period of time, especially because early manifestations of anxiety tend to persist over many years (Bernstein & Borchart, 1991; Last et al., 1996) and predict unfavorable consequences such as high school noncompletion (Duchesne et al., 2008). Thus, the school setting is a highly appropriate place to identify these children, implement preventive activities, and structure psycho-social interventions that include various services to respond to their needs (Duchesne et al., 2008; Tomb & Hunter, 2004).

**Limitations**

Although the current investigation contains several methodological strengths (e.g., longitudinal approach, repeated assessments, large sample, multiple informants), future research designs should address some limitations. First, the results can be generalized only for a nonclinical sample of children who are mostly Caucasian, French-speaking, and from a middle socioeconomic background. Further research should be conducted on clinical samples of children exhibiting more severe anxiety symptoms. Second, it has been argued that certain behavioral characteristics
in kindergarten (aggressiveness, hyperactivity, inattention, and low prosociality) may be associated with the development of anxiety among children over time. It is possible that these characteristics also reflect certain manifestations of anxiety. Among young children, agitation, fits of anger, inattention, and social withdrawal are all typical manifestations of anxiety (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). However, in this study, the examination of correlations revealed that symptoms of anxiety were weakly associated with children’s behavioral characteristics, thus suggesting that these constructs are relatively distinct. Further studies are nevertheless needed to clarify the nature of the association between these constructs. Third, the trajectories of anxiety were assessed starting in kindergarten, when the children were 6 years old. Given that anxiety can manifest itself earlier in child development (Feng et al., 2008; Zahn-Waxler et al., 2000), it would be useful to conduct assessments before children enter school so that anxious children can be identified as rapidly as possible. Fourth, some studies have provided evidence that anxiety tends to be a family phenomenon, such that anxious children tend more to have parents who are anxious themselves and who adopt inappropriate parental behaviors (see Ballash et al., 2006; Kendall & Suveg, 2006). It would be relevant to consider the presence of anxious symptoms in the mother as a potentially confounding variable. Fifth and finally, only maternal parenting was retained as a variable. Future research should explore the father’s role in the development of anxiety during childhood.

Conclusion
This study aimed to examine the trajectories of anxiety in children who were followed from kindergarten to the end of elementary school, to identify the behavioral characteristics associated with these trajectories, and to determine whether positive maternal parenting could modulate or compensate for the association between these characteristics and the trajectories of anxiety. By examining the trajectories, it was possible to isolate a group of children who were more anxious (14% of the sample) than their peers. The children belonging to this group were more likely to be inattentive and not very prosocial at the beginning of their schooling. They also appeared to be more exposed to disciplinary practices at home. In addition, children who exhibited signs of hyperactivity in kindergarten were less at risk of belonging to this group, only if their mothers showed affective warmth. For these children, this type of maternal behavior seems to constitute a significant protective mechanism in preventing the appearance of high levels of anxiety throughout the elementary school years. These results make a good case for a multimodal intervention involving both the school and the parents of these children.
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