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Multivariate genetic research suggests that a single set of genes affects most cognitive abilities and disabilities. This finding already has far-reaching implications for cognitive neuroscience, and will become even more revealing when this — presumably large — set of generalist genes is identified. Similar to other complex disorders and dimensions, molecular genetic research on cognitive abilities and disabilities is adopting genome-wide association strategies. These strategies involve very large samples to detect DNA associations of small effect size using microarrays that simultaneously assess hundreds of thousands of DNA markers. When this set of generalist genes is identified, it can be used to provide solid footholds in the climb towards a systems-level understanding of how genetically driven brain processes work together to affect diverse cognitive abilities and disabilities.
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Introduction
One of the most important findings to emerge from quantitative genetic research on cognitive abilities is that the genetic correlations (see glossary) among them are very high, suggesting that a single set of genes is responsible for most of the substantial genetic influence on diverse cognitive abilities. We begin with a brief introduction to the quantitative genetic evidence that points to the importance of generalist genes (see glossary). We then consider new approaches to finding such genes and review research on this topic to date, most of which has been published since 2003. We focus on cognitive abilities such as spatial, verbal and memory abilities rather than learning abilities such as reading. Our review is limited to research using direct measures of cognitive performance rather than measures of brain structure or function (see [1,2,3]). Ethical issues related to genetic research on cognitive abilities are discussed elsewhere [4].

Generalist genes
Quantitative genetic research (see glossary) consistently shows substantial genetic influence on individual differences in cognitive abilities such as spatial, memory and verbal abilities [5]. Heritability estimates are typically about 40%, indicating that 40% of the total variance in these traits can be attributed to genetic variance. We also know that diverse cognitive abilities correlate moderately between individuals — this is the basis for Spearman’s g (see glossary) general cognitive ability, initially proposed a century ago [6]. A meta-analysis of 322 studies of cognitive abilities yielded an average correlation of about .30 among cognitive abilities, for example, among individuals’ performance on spatial, verbal and memory tasks [7]. Studies using more representative samples and more reliable measures yield higher correlations [8].

To what extent do different sets of genes affect each of these cognitive abilities? An important advance in quantitative genetics that addresses this question is multivariate genetic analysis (see glossary), which goes beyond analysing the variance of each cognitive ability considered separately to analyse the covariance between them [9]. Multivariate genetic analysis yields a statistic called the ‘general correlation’, which indexes the extent to which genetic effects on one trait correlate with genetic effects on another trait, independent of the heritability of the two traits or the phenotypic correlation between them. The genetic correlation can be roughly interpreted as the extent to which the same genes affect the two traits: a genetic correlation of 1.0 indicates that the same genes affect both traits and a genetic correlation of 0.0 signifies that completely different genes are involved. However, quantitative genetic research cannot specify the generalist genes themselves nor the mechanisms by which they have their effect. For example, generalist genes could primarily affect a fundamental physical (e.g., neural density), physiological (e.g., synaptic plasticity), or psychological (e.g., working memory) process; these rudimentary genetic effects could then pervade all downstream cognitive functioning. In genetics, the word pleiotropy refers to such manifold effects of a gene. (See Box 1 for more detail about multivariate genetic analysis and the genetic correlation.)

Multivariate genetic research on cognitive abilities consistently reveals that genetic correlations among diverse cognitive abilities are typically about .80. This suggests that mainly the same set of genes affects cognitive
These multivariate genetic results suggest that a single set of genes is largely responsible for genetic effects on cognitive abilities and learning abilities. It should be emphasized that not all genetic effects on cognitive abilities are general — some effects are specific — but what is interesting and unexpected from these results is the large extent of the general genetic effects. The ‘generalist genes’ hypothesis predicts that when genes are found that are associated with a particular cognitive ability, such as spatial ability, the same genes are also likely to be associated with other cognitive abilities, such as memory abilities, in addition to other learning abilities, such as reading and mathematics. This hypothesis also infers that attempts to identify these generalist genes will be facilitated by targeting what is in common among cognitive abilities rather than what is specific to each cognitive ability [14].

Quantitative trait loci

During the past three years, dozens of candidate gene association (see glossary) studies of specific and general cognitive abilities have been reported [15]. In 2005, the first studies were reported of genome-wide linkage (see glossary) [16] and genome-wide association [17**]. Much more linkage research has been conducted during the past decade on reading disability [18], but we focus here on more recent research on cognitive abilities.

This molecular genetic research is premised on the assumption that cognitive abilities, similar to other quantitative dimensions and common disorders, are influenced by many genes of small effect [19]. This view is referred to as the quantitative trait locus (QTL; see glossary) model because if many genes of small effect are involved a quantitative distribution is expected, not the qualitative dichotomy of a monogenic disorder. This assumption of the QTL model also applies to common disorders such as cognitive disabilities. That is, the QTL model assumes that common disorders (frequencies >0.01) are the quantitative extreme of the same genes responsible for normal variation, a hypothesis supported by quantitative genetic research that shows strong genetic links between learning disabilities and abilities [13**]. Although there are thousands of monogenic disorders in which a single mutation is necessary and sufficient for a disorder — many including cognitive effects among their symptoms — these disorders are rare and yield a combined frequency of only about 0.5%. For example, 282 monogenic disorders have been identified that include symptoms of mental retardation [20]; although these are often severe, they are rare (most 0.01%). Moreover, even these monogenic disorders involve highly pleiotropic (see glossary) effects across the brain so that their effects are likely to be general, which suggests that it will be difficult to track causal pathways.

Finding genes responsible for highly penetrant monogenic disorders is straightforward: genome-wide linkage

### Glossary

- **Allele**: An alternative form of DNA sequence at a locus.
- **Association**: A correlation between allelic frequencies and a phenotype in the population.
- **DNA marker**: A polymorphism in DNA itself, such as single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), in contrast to variations in a gene product, such as blood groups.
- **Generalist genes**: Genes that affect multiple cognitive abilities, thus creating genetic correlations among the abilities.
- **Genetic correlation**: A statistic from multivariate quantitative genetic analysis derived from the analysis of covariance between traits that indicates the extent to which genetic effects on one trait correlate with genetic effects on another trait.
- **Genome-wide**: The entire 3-billion base pairs of DNA sequences across all of the chromosomes. Genome-wide linkage and association refers to using sufficient numbers of DNA markers (such as SNPs) to find linkage or association anywhere in the genome.
- **Linkage**: Close proximity of loci on a chromosome so that the loci violate Mendel’s second law of independent assortment, because closely linked loci are not inherited independently within families.
- **Linkage analysis**: A technique that detects linkage between DNA markers and traits; used to map genes to chromosomes.
- **Locus (loci)**: A particular sequence of nucleotide base pairs of DNA.
- **Microarray**: A highly miniaturised array, sometimes called a chip, that can genotype hundreds of thousands of SNPs simultaneously (and can also be used to detect tens of thousands of different RNA transcripts in gene expression studies). Several million strings of single-stranded nucleic acid fragments (probes) can be anchored to a glass or silicon slide the size of a postage stamp. Corresponding fluorescently labelled DNA sequences of interest can hybridise to these probes if there is an exact match in sequence. The fluorescent signal indicating a match can be detected by laser scanning.
- **Multivariate genetic analysis**: Quantitative genetic analysis of the phenotypic covariance between traits (see Box 1).
- **Pleiotropy**: Multiple effects of a gene.
- **Quantitative genetics**: A theory and set of methods to decompose phenotypic variance into genetic and environmental components of variance by comparing relatives who differ in genetic and environmental relatedness. For example, the classical twin method estimates genetic and environmental components of variance by comparing the phenotypic resemblance of identical twins who are genetically identical and fraternal twins who are half as similar genetically.
- **Quantitative trait locus (QTL)**: DNA sequences that affect traits in multiple-gene systems, thus contributing to quantitative (continuous) variation in a phenotype.
- **Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)**: DNA polymorphisms in which the only difference is in a single nucleotide base pair of DNA.
- **Spearman’s g**: More than century ago, Charles Spearman observed that diverse cognitive abilities are correlated across individuals. He coined the symbol g to refer to the general cognitive ability responsible for this covariance, in an attempt to avoid the use of the word ‘intelligence’, which even then had come to mean different things to different people.

abilities as diverse as memory and spatial in addition to information-processing measures [10,11]. Moreover, there is some evidence that the genetic overlap among cognitive abilities increases during development, with genetic correlations nearly reaching 1.0 in late adulthood [12]. Recent multivariate genetic analyses of learning abilities such as language, reading and mathematics yield similarly high genetic correlations of about .80, suggesting substantial genetic overlap among learning abilities; genetic correlations are also substantial (about .60) between learning abilities and cognitive abilities [13**].
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analysis using a few hundred DNA markers (see glossary) with large affected pedigrees will identify the chromosomal location of the mutation. QTL analysis, however, demands much greater power to detect the much smaller effect sizes that are expected if many QTLs are involved. QTL linkage designs accomplish this by studying many families of small size (usually parents and siblings or just siblings) rather than a few large pedigrees. The first QTL linkage study of nonverbal cognitive ability reported linkages to chromosomes 2 and 6 in a study of 246 families [16]. QTL linkage provides much greater power to detect QTLs than traditional linkage designs do, although QTL linkage is nonetheless limited to detecting QTLs of rather large effect size (~10% of the total variance).
By contrast, association designs, which investigate correlations between allelic variation and traits, can detect QTLs of much smaller effect size [21]. QTL associations can be identified in an unselected sample (for example, comparing quantitative trait scores for genotypic groups) or by comparing allelic frequencies for phenotypically low and high groups (or cases and controls). QTL association research has primarily focused on a few candidate genes because genome-wide association scans require genotyping hundreds of thousands of DNA markers, which has only recently become possible with the advent of microarrays (see glossary) [22], as explained below.

Candidate genes
QTL research on cognitive abilities largely consists of association analyses of polymorphisms in genes that can be considered candidates for cognitive function, primarily genes involving synaptic transmission. These dozens of studies, too numerous to cite individually here, are reviewed in two recent papers [15,23]. Although the studies use diverse measures of cognitive function, most of the measures involve general cognitive ability and are, thus, likely to point to generalist genes. If any of these associations were solidly replicated, they could be used to test the generalist genes hypothesis by investigating the extent to which they are associated with a broad range of cognitive abilities. However, none of the candidate gene associations has been consistently replicated.

Eight studies have reported significant associations of cognitive abilities with the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene. Eight other neurotransmitter genes have also been reported to show significant associations. Four genes related to brain development, and other genes including the apolipoprotein gene (APOE), which is most well known as a risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease [15], have also shown significant associations with cognitive abilities.

As is typical with candidate gene association studies of complex traits [24,25], reports have also appeared that do not replicate these findings. For example, four studies have not found significant cognitive associations with COMT and six studies have not replicated associations with APOE [15]. An important factor in the poor replication record of candidate gene association studies is that most studies have been considerably underpowered to detect QTLs of small effect size [26,27]. For example, the eight studies reporting significant associations with COMT had sample sizes that averaged fewer than 100 control individuals [15]. Samples of 100 only provide 80% power to detect an association if it accounts for about 10% of the total variance of the quantitative trait. Detecting QTLs that account for 1% of the population variance with 80% power requires samples of about 1000 unselected individuals or case and control samples of about 500 each [28] for a single test, that is, before considering genome-wide protection against false positive results and other complications [21].

Another problem with candidate gene studies of cognitive abilities is that any of the thousands of genes expressed in the brain could conceivably be a candidate. Moreover, although the 25 000 genes in the human genome make the quest for QTLs challenging, ‘genes’ — defined as coding DNA that is transcribed into RNA and translated into proteins — represent only 2% of the genome. Non-coding DNA that is transcribed but not translated is also likely to be an important source of QTLs [29,30,31*]. Because of the potential importance of non-coding DNA, the quest for QTLs for cognition needs to consider the whole genome rather than limiting the search to the 2% of the genome that involves protein-coding genes (see Box 2). Also for this reason, the acronym ‘QTL’ is more appropriate than ‘gene’ because QTL is neutral as to whether or not the functional DNA polymorphism is in a traditional protein-coding gene.

Genome-wide association scans
For an association scan to be truly genome-wide, hundreds of thousands of DNA markers are needed and the reasons for this are discussed in more detail in Box 2. Practical inconveniences of genotyping dense marker sets are now mostly resolved and genome-wide association scans are now possible, thanks to the development of microarrays and other high-throughput genotyping techniques that genotype simultaneously hundreds of thousands of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs; see glossary) [32]. Microarrays have been most widely used to measure RNA expression throughout the genome, so-called gene expression profiling; we are referring here to microarrays that assess DNA polymorphisms of the two-allele SNP variety. This advance of SNP microarrays, however, also raises problems such as the need to balance false positive and false negative effects when testing hundreds of thousands of SNPs [33], especially in the search for QTLs of small effect size. The solution to detecting QTLs of small effect size is to study very large samples. A practical problem is that microarrays are expensive and can be used only once, which puts them out of reach of most budgets to study the very large samples required to attain the power needed to detect QTLs of small effect size. One promising way to greatly reduce the amount of genotyping for large samples is to pool DNA from many individuals into groups, such as cases versus controls or low versus high on a quantitative trait [34]. Estimates of allelic frequencies from pooled DNA appear to be reliable when compared between pools and valid when compared with individual genotyping. DNA pooling is best viewed as a tool to screen large numbers of SNPs for large samples to nominate a small number of candidate markers that can then be confirmed with individual genotyping. DNA pooling was used in a two-stage design to screen 432 SNPs in genes expressed...
in the brain for association with non-verbal cognitive ability in 4-year-old children, which identified a replicated association of very small effect size with the heat-shock cognate protein 8 gene (HSPA8) [35].

The strength of microarrays to genotype large numbers of SNPs and the strength of DNA pooling to genotype large samples can be combined by genotyping pooled DNA on microarrays, a technique dubbed ‘SNP microarrays and DNA pooling’ (SNP-MaP) [36,37]. SNP-MaP allele frequency estimates for groups such as cases and controls (or individuals with low or high quantitative trait scores) are compared to nominate SNPs that show the greatest allele frequency differences; these nominated SNPs can then be confirmed with individual genotyping. The SNP-MaP method has been applied in a multistage design using a microarray that genotypes 10 000 SNPs, and it identified four SNPs associated with general cognitive ability in a sample of 6,000 children that were individually genotyped [17**]. The average effect size of these four SNPs was only 0.2%, but when aggregated into what has been called a ‘QTL set’ their effects add up to account for 0.8% of the variance. A microarray that genotypes 500 000 SNPs is now commercially available, which should greatly increase the
effect size of such QTL sets. The QTL set associated with general cognitive ability has been used as a multivariate genetic index in top-down behavioural genomic analyses including multivariate, development and gene–environment analyses [38]. These multivariate analyses support the generalist genes hypothesis, in that the QTL set identified on the basis of associations with general cognitive ability was also associated with verbal and non-verbal cognitive abilities in addition to reading ability.

Conclusions
Multivariate genetic research consistently points to a single set of generalist genes that accounts for much genetic influence on diverse cognitive abilities and on reading and other learning abilities. Attempts to identify QTLs associated with cognitive abilities have focused on candidate genes, but genome-wide association scans with large samples that can detect QTLs of small effect size promise breakthroughs. Although each of the many generalist QTLs will involve different molecular mechanisms, a QTL set will be useful in tracing the pleiotropic pathways between genes and cognition through the brain to understand how generalist genes have their diffuse effects. These pathways will be complex and determining direct causation will be difficult [39–42]. Nonetheless, generalist QTLs will help to focus attention on how the brain functions as a system to solve cognitive problems and to accelerate research on the integration of mechanisms at all levels from gene expression and proteomics to brain, mind and behaviour [43].
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16. The authors present the first multi-stage study to genotype DNA on SNP microarrays (10K GeneChips) to screen the genome for SNPs associated with general cognitive ability in 7-year-olds. Four SNPs were nominated, each contributing a very small proportion of the phenotypic variance. Aggregated together in a ‘SNP set’, they accounted for about 1% of the variance of general cognitive ability.


The author confronts the central dogma of genetics by reviewing evidence for the functional importance of DNA that is transcribed into RNA but not coded into proteins (ncRNA). The possible importance of ncRNA underlines the need to move towards genome-wide association scans that are not limited to the 2% of DNA that codes for proteins.


The authors use the ‘SNP set’ identified by Butcher et al. [17**] to conduct the first systematic behavioral genomic analyses on data from a large multivariate longitudinal twin study. The results indicate that the SNP set associated with general cognitive ability at seven years is associated with general cognitive ability at as early as two years, is also associated with reading, and shows significant interactions and correlations with environmental measures.


With the advent of genome-wide association scans, this review addresses the promise and problems of such studies.